University Libraries Student Advisory Council
Agenda
4 October 2016

1. Introduction
   a. Approve the agenda
   b. Purpose and history
   c. Last meeting
      i. Meeting schedule
      ii. Voting method
   d. Established priorities for each organization
   e. Interim Chair Appointment Approval

2. New Business
   a. Budget update and student impact
      i. Infographic
         1. Grace—The infographic was targeted to student leaders to answer questions about the budget, not designed to be used for marketing purposes.
         2. PHA—We need to focus communications better to digital communications. Ways we can do this?
      ii. Impact—hours, collections, staffing, etc.
         1. $1.6 million dollar cut in collections
         2. 118 staff currently, 200 needed as minimum
   b. External discussion updates
      i. Other official meetings we should all be aware of
         1. GPC—Journal access is integral; cannot earn degrees and research without them
         2. GPC—Stafing is another major component; library cannot function without
         3. GPC—Would like to increase study spaces graduate students
         4. MSA—it is difficult to balance demands; focus is on the 24-hour ability
         5. PHA—Sororities are concerned with fees increasing;
         6. Grace—24-hour ability was tied to the fee through a onetime allotment of funds; we attempted to communicate well but
not everyone was aware of the consequences; we will try to improve communication

7. GPC—Would like more information about student late night use last year
8. Grace—information may not be terribly reliable because it only represents one year
9. PHA—Campaign should have focused more on academic resources and less on the appearance

ii. Proposed solutions (plans in motion) 10 minutes
1. MSA—Discussions have begun regarding bringing the fee back; will not be this fall.
2. General Consensus—We should focus more on what can be done now rather than how we compare; we need to “dumb down” the message
3. MSA—Is looking to propose a temporary fee and ask the university to “match” or improve the commitment to the libraries

c. Student Vision for the University Libraries Grace
   i. Grace—Librarians know what the library could be. Moving forward, we need a vision from students to make anything happen.
   ii. PHA—Would like Library Vision meetings to be open to students
   iii. Grace—We have access to all the research
   iv. GPC—Will we be able to have open forums? Grace—Yes, but the libraries don’t have the resources other than spaces.
   v. Garren—We need ULSAC to be the visionaries and do the work for the library situation to improve.
   vi. Grace—We would like to focus on this issue.
   vii. Garren—The process to move forward needs to focus on a vision and improve the funding situation
   viii. Consensus—We need to focus on the vision.

3. Old Business
   a. Open Access Week Grace
      i. Thank you to GPC & ELGSA for co-sponsoring. MSA still on board?
   b. Governance Document Garren
      i. Update--election process, house rules, meeting time, amendment process, etc.
      ii. Taskforce formation – government doc draft deadline: Oct 17th